

Interview Summary

Application No.
08/902,449

Applicant(s)
Cheatham et al.

Examiner
Clovia Hamilton

Group Art Unit
3612

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Clovia Hamilton

(3) Jon Hokanson

(2) D. Glenn Dayoan

(4) James Cheatham & Ed Ellis

Date of Interview Jun 12, 1998

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☒ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description:

Model of 7-piece chassis, model of invention and supposed prior art one-piece chassis showing raised surfaces

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: of record

Identification of prior art discussed:

of record

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed the invention and how the chassis was made and how it differs from the prior art 7-piece chassis. Difference between piercing and coining of apertures was discussed. Applicant will submit a 132 affidavit to document that the supposed prior art has not been manufactured from a coining process. The claims in the proposed amendment with changes (attached) appear to define over the art rejections of record. The Examiner will update the search.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.